ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and overall accuracy of FNAB in detecting parotid malignancies in our institution.

Methods:

- **Design:** Retrospective Chart Review
- **Setting:** Tertiary Government Hospital
- **Participants:** Postoperative records of seventy six (76) patients with tumors of the parotid gland preoperatively diagnosed by FNAB.

Results: The sensitivity of FNAB was 46%. The specificity and positive predictive value were both 100% and negative predictive value was 90%. Overall accuracy in diagnosing malignant parotid tumor was 91%.

Conclusion: FNAB in this institution is a poor predictor of malignancy, having a sensitivity rate of only 46%. While this may serve as a basis for not recommending pre-operative FNAB for patients with parotid tumors in the interim, other factors should also be considered, including concerns with the actual performance and interpretation of FNAB in our institution.
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The role of Fine Needle Aspiration Biopsy (FNAB) in the workup of salivary gland tumors has been debated. In the 2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guideline,¹ and in our institution, FNAB is a required diagnostic procedure to determine treatment and management of parotid gland tumors. However, some clinicians question its value.²

Supporters of the procedure noted that it offers helpful information for planning surgery and counselling patients regarding expectations from the surgery and its after care.¹ Detractors, however, state that the management does not change regardless of the result of FNAB, believe that it may not be cost effective in routine cytology workup in every patient, and may do no more than increase the cost of healthcare.²

This paper aims to determine the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and overall accuracy of FNAB in detecting parotid malignancies in our institution.
METHODS

With IRB approval, a retrospective review of medical records of patients who underwent parotidectomy in the Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery and the Department of Surgery of a single tertiary government hospital from January 2008 to August 2014 was done.

Records of all parotid surgeries performed during this period were screened for those that had both FNAB and surgical histopathology performed in this institution. An inflammatory result, records of cases where FNAB was performed in other institutions and where surgical histopathology results were unavailable were excluded. Only records with FNAB results of benign or malignant were included in the study. Non-diagnostic FNAB results were excluded.

In our institution, the standard procedure for fine needle aspiration biopsy was performed routinely by second year residents who had undergone training in the Department of Pathology, and results were interpreted by board-certified pathologists, who also interpreted final histopathologic results. Initial FNAB interpretation and final histopathologic interpretation were not usually performed by the same pathologist.

The final histopathology results were compared with the preoperative cytologic interpretation of the FNAB specimens. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and overall accuracy of FNAB to differentiate between benign and malignant disease were determined using the Galen and Gambino method.4

The following parameters were analyzed:

1. Sensitivity – the proportion of patients with malignant cytopathology and surgical histopathology results.
2. Specificity – the proportion of patients with benign cytopathology and surgical histopathology results.
3. Positive predictive value (PPV) – the probability of having a malignant surgical histopathology and malignant cytopathologic findings.
4. Negative predictive value (NPV) – the probability of having a benign surgical histopathology with benign cytopathology findings.
5. Accuracy – the proportion of correct results (true positive and true negative) in relation to all cases studied.

RESULTS

A total of 94 records of patients who underwent parotidectomy in our institution were reviewed, and 76 records that had both final histopathologic results and preoperative FNAB performed in our institution were included. Eighteen records were excluded, either due to unavailable final histopathologic results or where FNAB had been performed elsewhere.

Of the 76 records that satisfied inclusion criteria, six (6) were reported malignant after FNAB and confirmed malignant on surgical histopathology (true positive). No cases were reported malignant on FNAB and later found to be benign on surgical histopathology (false positive). There were seven (7) cases that were reported benign on FNAB but were later found to be malignant on surgical histopathology (false negative). There were 63 cases that were reported benign on FNAB and confirmed benign on surgical histopathology (true negative). (Table 1)

Table 1. Comparison of FNA and Surgical Histopathology findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FNA</th>
<th>Surgical Histopathology</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Malignant</td>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>Malignant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was a 46% sensitivity, 100% specificity, 100% positive predictive value, 90% negative predictive value, and 91% overall accuracy for FNAB in diagnosing parotid tumors in our sample.

DISCUSSION

The objective of this paper was to evaluate the accuracy of fine needle aspiration biopsy as a screening tool for parotid gland malignancies in terms of sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value. In this series, the probability that a tumor found to be malignant on FNAB would be malignant on surgical histopathology was 100% and the probability that a tumor found to be benign on FNAB would be benign on surgical histopathology was 90%. These findings are similar with previous studies, with sensitivities and specificities ranging from 64% to 95% and 86% to 99%, respectively.5-8

Benign diseases were accurately diagnosed by FNAB with very low false positive rates as seen in this study. The most commonly diagnosed histology type was pleomorphic adenoma followed by Warthin’s tumor.

The low sensitivity (46%) result in this study can be attributed to its high false negative rate for the diagnosis of malignancy, as malignant tumors were falsely classified as benign. These results were also seen in the study of Fakhry et al. with 8% to 46% of cases.9 The implication
of this result is that if FNAB had been used as a screening tool, 54% of malignant lesions would have been missed. Many clinicians believe this could be related to technical factors and expertise of the cytopathologist.\(^7\) Most of the studies reviewed attribute false negative rates to sampling errors.\(^10\,12\)

According to a 2005 review,\(^13\) the malignant neoplasm cases of salivary gland with the highest false negative rates were mucoepidermoid carcinoma, acinic cell carcinoma, and adenoid cystic carcinoma. These were the seven malignancies that were falsely diagnosed to be benign by FNAB in this study, with four (4) cases of mucoepidermoid carcinoma and one (1) case of acinic cell carcinoma diagnosed as pleomorphic adenoma and two (2) cases of adenoid cystic carcinoma diagnosed as trichoblastoma and pleomorphic adenoma respectively. Possible reasons for the discrepancies include the wide variability of benign and malignant tumors having similar cytologic features with differences in some cases being quantitative rather than qualitative; and the nature of FNAB, which is focused on cytologic features with differences in some cases being quantitative rather than qualitative; and the nature of FNAB, which is focused on cytology instead of histology. The morphologic patterns of salivary gland tumors contrast with the small size of the needle aspiration sample, which may not be representative of the entire mass.\(^6\,10\)

It is important to be able to characterize the tumor preoperatively in order to correctly inform the patient about the type of surgery that will be performed, the need for lymph node dissection, and the possibility of nerve sacrifice\(^7\) as well as for psychological and medicolegal purposes.\(^5\,7\)

However, although FNAB in this institution can accurately diagnose benign parotid tumors with a specificity rate of 100%, it does not exclude malignancy because of a 54% false negative rate, and is a poor predictor of malignancy, having a sensitivity rate of only 46%. Although this study is limited by small sample size and incomplete data, it may serve as a basis for recommending discontinuation of routine preoperative FNAB for parotid tumors in the interim, while other factors are considered, including concerns with the actual performance and interpretation of FNAB in our institution. The possible variability in levels of expertise both in obtaining specimens, and in FNAB interpretation should be addressed, and investigation into, and improvement of both FNAB specimen collection and interpretation may be in order.
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